

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,864	04/27/2001		Martin M. Matzuk	P01925US2 (09807797)	1363	
26271	7590	11/14/2003		EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP				WILDER, CYNTHIA B		
1301 MCKI	NNEY				<del></del>	
<b>SUITE 5100</b>				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095				1637		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/844,864	MATZUK ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	<del></del>				
	Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.	1637					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce add	r ss				
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: see attachment to advisory action.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 102(b) rejection directed to claim 23.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ind an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 23 and 25.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 24 and 26.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>7</u>	<u>/14/2003</u> .					
10. Other:							

Art Unit: 1637

## Attachment to Advisory Action

Applicant's amendment after-final filed on October 23, 2003 is acknowledged. However, 1. the amendment has not been entered because the amendment raises issues that requires further search and consideration. Specifically, the amendment to claim 24 raises issues under 25 USC 112 first paragraph because the claim as written is not enabled for all hybridizable nucleic acid molecules encompassed by the claim as written. Additionally, it cannot be determined which hybridizable nucleic acid molecules or which complementary nucleic acid molecules would result in a functional nucleic acid sequence as set forth in claim 23 or encode the protein thereof. Likewise the claim as amended encompasses a large genus of hybridizable nucleic acid nucleic acid molecules not adequately disclosed or described. The claim further encompasses issues under 35 USC 112 second paragraph because the term "highly stringent" is a relative term of degree. The term is not defined by the claim and the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Likewise, it cannot be determine what conditions are necessary for the instant invention because the specification does not define what is considered "stringent".

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-1680. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm and on Friday from 9:30 am to 1:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,864

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0196.

Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. Examiner

Art Unit 1637

November 7, 2003

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3

11/12/13